

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/827,159	OWEN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	James M. Hewitt	3679	
All Participants:	Status of Application: _____		
(1) <u>James M. Hewitt</u> .	(3) _____.		
(2) <u>Jason Piche</u> .	(4) _____.		
Date of Interview: <u>8 December 2006</u>	Time: _____		
Type of Interview:			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative)			
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No.			
If Yes, provide a brief description:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
N/A			
Claims discussed:			
1 & 26			
Prior art documents discussed:			
Jones (US 2004/0232700 A1), Vitel et al (FR 2,830,070), Fischer (EP 0 794 378 A2), EP 0 334 380 A2			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:			
Applicant's representative agreed to amend claims 1 and 26 in order to better distinguish the claims over the prior art.			
Part III.			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. <input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.			

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)